(3)

Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

CONTEMPT CASE NO.05 /2007

(Arising out of order date 10.10.06 passed by Hon'ble Justice Shri S.K. Agnihotri in W.P. (S) No. 5512/2006)

APPLICANT : Budhawara Bai W/o Shri Kheduram
R/o Chhoti Koni, Bilaspur,
Dist. Bilaspur (C.G.)

VERSUS

CONTEMPTNOR
NON APPLICANT

1. J.S. Dixit
   Secretary,
   Department of Public Works,
   D.K.Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. S.B. Solanki
   Engineer-in-Chief,
   Department of Public Works,
   Raipur (C.G.)
3. D.K. Jain
   Superintending Engineer,
   Department of Public Works,
   Bilaspur Division, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)
4. P.M. Kashyap
   Executive Engineer,
   Department of Public Works,
   Division No.1 Bilaspur
   Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

P.R.No. 4/5/07
Presented by Shri Umesh Agrawal
dated 23/1/07

APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 215 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(17)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Contempt Petition No. 05 of 2007

Single Bench:Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

Applicant : Budhawara Bai

Versus

Respondents : J.S. Dixit and others

Present:

Mr. S.P. Kale, counsel for the applicant.

Mr. V.V.S. Moorthy, Senior counsel with Mrs. Anju Ahuja, counsel for the respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on 6th Day of February, 2007)

This Court, vide order dated 10.10.2006, passed in W.P. (s) No. 5512 of 2006 (Budhawara Bai Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others), directed the concerned authority to decide the representation within one month from the date of receipt of the representation. It appears that the representation could not be decided within the prescribed time. The petitioner has filed this contempt petition on 25.12.2006, stating non-compliance of the order of this Court by the concerned authority.

2. Mr. V.V.S. Moorthy, learned counsel appearing for the respondents/contemnors submits that in compliance with the order of this Court dated 10.10.2006, passed in W. P. (s) 5512 of 2006, Public Works Department, Government of Chhattisgarh, Raipur (C.G.) has issued an order dated 16.1.2007. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents/contemnors

(5) (18)

place a copy of the said order dated 16.1.2007 before this Court. The document is taken on record.

3. In view of the compliance of the order of this Court by the respondents/contemnors, nothing survives in this matter. However, in the facts and circumstances of the case, the authorities are warned not to recur this conduct in future. If the time is prescribed for compliance of any order of this Court, the order must be complied with within the prescribed time, otherwise it amounts to contempt of Court.

4. In view of the foregoing, the contempt petition is dismissed. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Subhash